Why I Should Not refuse to answer about other Bank Robbery

1. Newsweek, time, Etc. has already laid the Ground Work for the committee to get me for Contempt. It would be Justify In Eyes of public - Because [illegible] a [illegible], Wallace Supporter, Bank Robber and assault to murder, and now would show Contempt for Congress - plus Exconvict

~~the other congressman would support the contempt move because of being labeled a cowards and Radicalism of not. More, because a Crook will always support another Crook (Congressman)~~ U.S. ~~[illegible] Commission could~~ have a field day.

Why I should answer about Robbery

1) [illegible] Statement is no good, he could not be Justified for Cross Examination, How he work will [illegible], by [illegible] My trial In the First place.

2) Haynes Statement no good, a perjurer, who sent an Innocent Man to prison In first place

Roger Statement no good, because he was Convicted for a Robbery that another man admitted to things (and [illegible]) Because [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Not Want to return to prison.

1) plus the Fact that the Justice Department had the [illegible] Statements for years and didn't act. If they could have got a Conviction on the [illegible] they would have [illegible] they [illegible] When I was In [illegible] Confinement the Last time.

over

5) the U.S. Department of Justice do not want me to go into any of these filed trials. they would like to get me for contempt on Bank Robber, which would indicate guilt, without benefit of trial.

6) it would benefit me to show a pattern of filed trials on the part of the U.S. Dept. of Justice. for to have them to convict me on the eyes of perjury on suspicion, as the asst. Com. has done three times now Writer.

7) I would be playing into Justice Department hands if refuse to answer any questions.

8) why I wasn't cited for contemp the last time because I answer all questions. this left the only avenue open to them was perjury, which they cannot prove.